BEST AVAILABLE COPY



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/797,229 03/10/		03/10/2004	Jeffrey Kao	SIS.0171US 2976 (PE-25669-AM)		
21906	7590	03/03/2006		EXAMINER		
TROP PR 8554 KAT	UNER &	•	PREVIL,	PREVIL, DANIEL		
SUITE 100		n.i		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
HOUSTON	N, TX 770	024	2636			
				DATE MAILED: 03/03/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					V
		Application No.		Applicant(s)	U
		10/797,229		KAO, JEFFREY	
Office	Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	<u> </u>
	·	Daniel Previl		2636	
The MAILI	NG DATE of this communication app	<u> </u>	r sheet with the c		Idress
Period for Reply	••			·	
WHICHEVER IS - Extensions of time marger SIX (6) MONTHS - If NO period for reply - Failure to reply within Any reply received by	STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Do all be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: 6 from the mailing date of this communication. is specified above, the maximum statutory period we the set or extended period for reply will, by statute the Office later than three months after the mailing lijustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS CO 36(a). In no event, howe vill apply and will expire , cause the application to	OMMUNICATION ever, may a reply be tim SIX (6) MONTHS from to be become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive	e to communication(s) filed on <u>19 D</u>	ecember 2005.			
2a)⊠ This action		action is non-fin	al.		
3) Since this a	application is in condition for allowa	nce except for for	mal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is
closed in a	ccordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle,	1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claim	16				
	<u>4</u> is/are pending in the application. bove claim(s) is/are withdra	vn from consider	ation		
Ta) Of the a	is/are allowed.	WIT HOITT CONSIDER	ation.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-</u>					
	is/are objected to.				
	are subject to restriction and/o	r election require	ment.		
Application Papers					
_	cation is objected to by the Examine	er.			
10)☐ The drawing			ected to by the E	Examiner.	
Applicant ma	ay not request that any objection to the				
Replacemen	nt drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if th	e drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or	declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the	attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.
Priority under 35 U.	S C & 119				
	_		1100 0 140(-)	(4) (5)	
R ·	ment is made of a claim for foreign] Some * c)⊡ None of:	pnonty under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(a) or (t).	
	fied copies of the priority document	s have heen rece	eived		
: K	fied copies of the priority document			on No.	
	es of the certified copies of the prio				Stage
· F	cation from the International Bureau	-			
	ched detailed Office action for a list	·		d.	
Attachmont/s)					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Reference	s Cited (PTO-892)	41 🗆	Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
2) Dotice of Draftspers	on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite	
3) Information Disclosເ Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) ate		Notice of Informal Particle Other:	atent Application (PT	O-152)
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	Office Ad	tion Summary	Par	rt of Paper No./Mail D	Pate 02212006

Art Unit: 2636

The second of th

Action of the second se

DETAILED ACTION

This action is responsive to communication filed on December 19, 2005.

Claim Objections

1. Claims 1-4 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claims 1, 3, the phrase "adapted to, capable of" is not a positive limitation but only required the ability to so perform. Appropriate correction is required.

Claims 2, 4, are objected for the same reason since they depend from objected claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1, 3, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ford et al. (US 6,515,857).

Regarding claim 1, Ford discloses a heat sink connected to an electronic device for dissipating heat generated therefrom (col. 5, lines 41-44), heat sink comprising a heat dissipating body having a visible outer surface and a thermochromic dye coated on visible outer surface of heat dissipating body (col. 5, lines

Application/Control Number: 10/797,229

Art Unit: 2636

41-67; col. 6, lines 23-37), thermo –chromic dye being capable of changing color in response to temperature change of heat dissipating body (col. 5, lines 44-57).

Regarding claim 3, Ford discloses a power supply (fig. 1) comprising a housing having a visible outer surface and a thermo-chromic dye coated on visible outer surface of housing (fig. 1; col. 5, lines 40-67), thermo-chromic dye being capable of changing color in response to temperature change of housing (col. 5, lines 44-48).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
 - 5. Claims 2, 4, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ford (US 6,515,857).

Regarding claim 2, Although, Ford discloses all the limitations in claim 1, but fails to specify that thermo-chromic dye changes color from transparent to red when temperature of heat dissipating body changes from 40 degree C to 80 degree C. Since Ford discloses heat exchange material changes its appearance during operation as temperature varies (col. 5, lines 45-47). So, it would have been obvious to any skill artisan to be precise by mentioning the color changed as transparent to red or by mentioning the heat dissipating from 40 degree C to

80 degree C in order to warn the user if the computer is overheating thereby preventing injury as taught by Ford (col. 5, lines 55-56).

Regarding claim 4, Although, Ford discloses all the limitations in claim 1, but fails to specify that thermo-chromic dye changes color from transparent to red when temperature of heat dissipating body changes from 50 degree C to 70 degree C. Since Ford discloses heat exchange material changes its appearance during operation as temperature varies (col. 5, lines 45-47). So, it would have been obvious to any skill artisan to be precise by mentioning the color changed as transparent to red or by mentioning the heat dissipating from 50 degree C to 70 degree C in order to warn the user if the computer is overheating thereby preventing injury as taught by Ford (col. 5, lines 55-56).

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed on December 19, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

According to Applicant's argument on page 3 regarding the phrase "capable of". It has been held that the recitation that an element is "capable of" performing a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. It does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. In re Hutchison, 60 USPQ 138.

According to Applicant's argument on pages 3-4, "Ford does not disclose change color in response to temperature of the heat sink". The examiner respectfully

Application/Control Number: 10/797,229

Art Unit: 2636

hing.

disagrees with the Applicant because Ford clearly discloses that heat sink 50 changes its appearance during operation as temperature varies (col. 5, lines 44-47).

The limitation "gradually change" mentioning by Applicant on page 3 is most because it is not in the claim.

Regarding the obviousness rejection in claims 2 and 4. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to be precise by mentioning from 40 degree C to 80 degree C. It has been held that discovering a value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

The examiner examines the claims not the specification. The limitation "gradually change" in which the Applicant relies on is not stated in the claims. It is the claims that define the claimed invention not the specifications that are anticipated or unpatentable. Constant v. Advanced Micro-Devices Inc., 7 USPQ2d 1064.

For at least the above reason, the rejection of claims 1-4 is sustained.

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 10/797,229

Art Unit: 2636

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Hu et al. (US 6,146,031) discloses method and apparatus for controlling a thermal printer head.

Conner (US 5,985,381) discloses methods for increasing a camouflaging effect and articles so produced.

Hojyo (US 5,559,364) discloses a lead frame.

Bailey et al. (US 5,751,316) discloses a thermal ink jet print head with ink resistant heat sink coating.

Gottlich et al. (US 5,932,869) discloses promotional system with magnetic stripe and visual thermo-reversible print surfaced medium.

Nakagawa et al. (US 5,502,967) discloses color variation inducing device.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel Previl whose telephone number is (571) 272-

Art Unit: 2636

2971. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Hofsass can be reached on (571) 272-2981. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel Previl Examiner Art Unit 2636

DP February 21, 2006.

JEFFERY HÖPSASS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:
☐ BLACK BORDERS
☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
☐ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
□ other.

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.